









A NETAPP COLLABORATIVE TECHNICAL REPORT

ORACLE 10g RAC. SEQUENTIAL WORKLOAD PERFORMANCE USING ISCSI AND NFS OVER **10GbE AND 4Gb FIBRE CHANNEL NETWORKS**

Author: John Elliott, Network Appliance Inc.

Edited by: Blade Network Technologies, Chelsio Communications

November 2007 | TR-3628

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

This paper demonstrates the increased throughput capabilities of an Oracle® 10g[™] RAC database using a NetApp FAS6030 cluster storage system when accessed using today's faster transport technologies; specifically, 10 Gigabit Ethernet and 4 gigabit Fibre Channel.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

Table of Contents2
INTRODUCTION
TEST LOAD DESCRIPTION 3
TEST CONFIGURATIONS AND SETTINGS 4
Hardware/Network Configuration4
Hardware and Software Configuration Details 5
Storage Provisioning Details for Fibre Channel and 10GbE/iSCSI Configurations
Storage Provisioning for NFS Configuration
NFS Mount Options7
Oracle Parameter Settings (Nondefault Values) 8
Linux /etc/sysctl.conf Settings
/etc/security/limits.conf Settings
TEST RESULTS
Storage System Throughput
Database Throughput
Latency 11
CONCLUSION
ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS 12
ARCHIVALMAN

INTRODUCTION

The NetApp FAS6030 storage system was designed to handle very demanding enterprise applications. It utilizes the latest 64-bit CPU architecture and is compatible with the latest high-speed data transport technologies, including 10 Gigabit Ethernet (10GbE) and 4Gb Fibre Channel (FC). By utilizing these cutting-edge technologies, the FAS6030 provides the fast I/O features required by modern enterprise data warehouse operations.

To substantiate this claim, we utilized a three-node Oracle 10*g* RAC database running on IBM Blade Servers running Red Hat Enterprise Linux® Advanced Server 4. Our tests were performed with the following Oracle configurations:

- Oracle 10g RAC using NFS over 10GbE
- Oracle 10g RAC with Oracle Automatic Storage Management (ASM) using LUNs accessed over 10GbE with iSCSI

Oracle 10g RAC with Oracle ASM using LUNs accessed over 4Gb FC

To fully demonstrate the impact of our high-speed data transport configurations, we utilized a workload that was very sequential in nature

Note: Every effort was made to conform to Oracle and NetApp best practices as they were defined at the time the tests described in this paper were performed. However, best practices do occasionally change; therefore any discrepancy between this document and published best practices should be resolved in favor of the published best practices.

TEST LOAD DESCRIPTION

Our workload consisted of an Oracle query designed to simulate the analysis of parts inventories of suppliers across several different nations and to determine their suitability for promotional offers. Query execution resulted in sequential scanning of several tables along with joins and sort operations, which in turn generated a high volume of direct sequential read I/O from storage. The Oracle parallel query feature was used extensively to satisfy the queries' high data throughput requirements. To fully test network throughput with 10GbE and 4Gb FC, the database was sized with the goal of minimizing actual disk I/O. As a result, the storage system was able to cache in memory most of the data accessed by the test queries, thereby avoiding latencies associated with normal disk I/O. (Disk latencies are characteristic of all disk-based storage systems.) The data presented in this paper very closely reflects network throughput capabilities.

TEST CONFIGURATIONS AND SETTINGS

We used the following test configurations and settings.

HARDWARE/NETWORK CONFIGURATION

Figures 1 and 2 show block diagram representations of the two hardware configurations (4Gb/sec FC and 10GbE).



HARDWARE AND SOFTWARE CONFIGURATION DETAILS

Table 1) Configuration details.

	Item	Description		
Software	Red Hat Enterprise Linux Release 4, Update 4	Operating System		
	NetApp Data ONTAP® 7.2.3	Storage Controller Software		
	Oracle 10 <i>g</i> R2	RDBMS		
	IBM BladeCenter H	Blade Chassis		
	3-IBM HS-21	Blade Servers		
	2-Dual Core 2.66 GHz Xeon®	Processors per blade		
	8GB Physical Memory	Memory per blade		
Server	Chelsio N310E-SR, 10GbE Server Adapters Driver Version: 1.0.113 Firmware Version: 4.6.0 Protocol SRAM Version: 1.1.0 Protocol EEPROM Version: 1.1.0	PCI Express Mezzanine Cards		
	QLogic QMC2462S 4Gb/sec FC HBAs Driver Version: 8.01.07.15 BIOS Version: 1.04 Firmware Version: 4.00.26 Data Rate: 4Gb/sec Execution Throttle: 256	Fibre Channel HBAs per blade		
Network	Blade Network Technologies 39Y9265 embedded switch Firmware Revision: 0100 Build ID: WMB01001	10GbE Layer 2/3 switch module blades, Jumbo Frames Enabled		
	Embedded Brocade 32R1820 4G FC switch Firmware Revision: 504a Build ID: BREFSM	Fibre Channel switch module		
Storage	FAS6030C	Active-active, highly scalable, enterprise class storage		
	Chelsio S320e-SR NICs Driver Version: 1.0.113 Firmware Version: 4.6.0 Protocol SRAM Version: 1.1.0 Protocol EEPROM Version: 1.1.0	PCI-Express Network Interface Card		
	Qlogic QMC2462S 4Gb/sec FC HBAs Driver Version: 8.01.07.15 BIOS Version: 1.04 Firmware Version: 4.00.26	Fibre Channel Target cards per controller		

STORAGE PROVISIONING DETAILS FOR FIBRE

CHANNEL AND 10GbE/iSCSI CONFIGURATIONS

Storage layouts for the FCP and iSCSI configurations were identical. Figures 3 and 4 show the storage provisioning on both NetApp storage controllers. Note that a single data ASM disk group and a single log ASM disk group were created from the corresponding LUNs on both controllers of the storage cluster. In other words, a single disk group for data files was created from the three 100GB LUNs on controller 1 and the three 100GB LUNs on controller 2. In the same manner, a single disk group for log files and control files was created from the (four 10GB LUNs on both controllers. As shown in the figures, a single 3.1TB disk aggregate was created on each of the two storage systems. All of the volumes used by the database and clusterware were created in those aggregates.



Figure 4) Storage provisioning on NetApp controller 2.

STORAGE PROVISIONING FOR NFS CONFIGURATION

As shown in Figures 5 and 6, volumes for the NFS configuration were laid out in much the same way as those used by the Fibre Channel and 10GbE/iSCSI configurations.



ORACLE PARAMETER SETTINGS (NONDEFAULT VALUES)

Table 2) Oracle parameter settings (nondefault values only).

	Oracle parameter settings (Nondefault Values)			
	Parameter Name	Value		
	db_block_size	8192		
	db_cache_size	1008M		
	db_file_multiblock_read_count	128		
	db_files	200		
	db_writer_processes	2		
	filesystemio_options	setall		
	gcs_server_processes	4		
	hash_area_size	8000000		
	job_queue_processes	16		
	large_pool_size	160M		
	log_buffer	30519296		
	nls_date_format	YYYY-MM-DD		
	open_cursors	1024		
	parallel_execution_message_size	16384		
	parallel_max_servers	16		
	parallel_min_servers	8		
	parallel_threads_per_cpu	1		
	pga_aggregate_target	1300M		
CN	processes	900		
	session_max_open_files	300		
5 6	sessions	900		
	sga_max_size	4608M		
\mathcal{O}	shared_pool_reserved_size	15099494		
-	shared_pool_size	288M		
	sort_area_retained_size	2000000		
	sort_area_size	2000000		
	transactions	900		

LINUX /ETC/SYSCTL.CONF SETTINGS

kernel.shmall=1600000000

kernel.shmmax=1600000000

kernel.shmmni=4096

kernel.sem=250 32000 100 128

net.ipv4.ip_local_port_range=1024 65000

net.core.wmem_default=16777216

net.core.rmem_default=16777216

net.core.wmem_max=16777216

net.core.rmem_max=16777216

fs.file-max=65536

fs.aio-max-nr=1048576

/ETC/SECURITY/LIMITS.CONF SETTINGS

	\circ	· ~	
oracle	hard 🜙	nofile	65536
oracle	soft	nofile	1024
oracle	hard	nproc	16384
oracle	soft	nproc	2047

TEST RESULTS

The table below shows the actual test results.

Table 3) Test results data.

Test Results - Comparison of 10GbE and 4Gb/s FC								
Storage Protocol	Database Throughput Avg (Gb/sec)	Storage Throughput Avg (Gb/sec)	Peak Storage Throughput (Gb/sec)	Avg Host CPU Utilization				
				Idle	I/O Wait	System	User	
10GbE NFS	14.18	G	7.74	8.95	19%	0%	48%	33%
10GbE iSCSI	12.50	13	6.98	7.21	1%	17%	60%	22%
4Gb/sec FC	5.94	29	3.22	3.36	9%	82%	3%	6%

STORAGE SYSTEM THROUGHPUT

Our test results clearly demonstrate the enhanced level of throughput to be expected from an enterprise-class storage system in an I/O transport-enhanced configuration. Figure 7 represents the average I/O per data transport cable from storage to the switch for the duration of each test run. This data was obtained using sysstat, a NetApp tool used to capture real-time data from NetApp storage systems. Because this data indicates network throughput, bits per second were converted to gigabits per second by using a decimal conversion factor (1000) instead of the binary conversion factor (1024), per standard practice. NFS on 10GbE clearly provided the highest throughput, with 4Gb/sec Fibre Channel providing the lowest throughput.



I/O Between Storage System and Switch

DATABASE THROUGHPUT

Figure 8 presents the actual database throughput that corresponds to the data in Figure 7. This data was obtained from the Oracle Automatic Workload Repository (AWR) reports captured by each host during each test run. The database was basically fed by two wires from the storage system; because the data does not represent network throughput, the binary conversion factor (1024) was used to convert bits per second to gigabits per second. Again, NFS over 10GbE was the



Figure 8) Database throughput comparison—three-node RAC.

10 Oracle 10g Rac Sequential Workload Performance Tech Report

Figure 7) Summary of average storage system throughput (storage to switch).

CPU UTILIZATION

The database and the database workload were initially configured and tuned to maximize throughput of the 10GbE network with NFS, which served as a reference for the remaining tests. Although data transport utilization was maximized in all three sets of tests, CPU utilization on the database hosts was not optimized, resulting in excessive CPU I/O wait time for the non-NFS configurations, particularly with the much slower Fibre Channel configuration. Figure 9 summarizes the corresponding host-side CPU utilization as measured by the Linux vmstat utility. CPU calculations based on idle CPU time indicate a relatively high level of utilization for all three configurations, particularly the iSCSI configuration. For the two block-based protocols, a significant part of CPU utilization is CPU wait time, as previously explained. This indicates that processes are waiting for I/O. Although this is a measure of utilization, it does not indicate CPU saturation. Improvements in I/O bandwidth and processing capability will result in reductions in wait time statistics and increases in database throughput. Anticipated developments that will affect this include faster Fibre Channel transport (8Gb FC) and improved 10GbE tcp offload capabilities. Currently, 10GbE tcp offload is not supported by NetApp storage systems, and enabling the TCP Offload Engine (TOE) on the Linux hosts did not noticeably affect performance on the IBM blade side.



Figure 9) Analysis of host CPU utilization.

LATENCY

NFS over 10GbE also proved to be the low latency solution. In the DSS context, Oracle read latency can be defined as the average wait time for a required data block to be read from storage into the Oracle program global area (PGA). Figure 10 summarizes the average database read latency for each protocol. It basically follows the same trend as CPU I/O wait time, which was addressed previously in this document. Better tcp offloading on the iSCSI host side and faster Fibre Channel transport would improve that latency.



Database Read Latency Comparison

technologies. The purpose of this paper is not to endorse one over the other, but there can be no doubt that NetApp storage with 10GbE is the clear winner in terms of database throughput capabilities, whether it's used with NFS or Oracle ASM. In addition, further technological developments will result in even better database performance. Enhanced 10GbE drivers are expected to result in even higher throughput for iSCSI as tcp offload capabilities are increased. Another development not mentioned in this paper is the new Oracle dNFS, a feature of Oracle Database 11g, which moves the NFS stack from the operating system to the Oracle software itself, resulting in improved performance and easier configuration. dNFS is also compatible with 10 Gigabit Ethernet. All of these new developments result in more and better options for breaking the speed barrier in the enterprise data center that existed with the older Gigabit Ethernet and 2Gb/sec Fibre Channel standards.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

Special thanks to the following organizations for their contributions and support:

Blade.org

Blade Network Technologies, Inc.

Chelsio Communications, Inc.

Network Appliance, Inc.

© 2007 Blade Network Technologies Inc., Chelsio Communications Inc., and Network Appliance, Inc. All rights reserved. Specifications subject to change without notice. NetApp, the Network Appliance logo, and Data ONTAP are registered trademarks and Network Appliance is a trademark of Network Appliance, Inc. in the U.S. and other countries. Xeon is a registered trademark of Intel Corporation. Linux is a registered trademark of Linus Torvalds. Oracle is a registered trademarks on Cracle 10g is a trademark of Oracle Corporation. All other brands or products are trademarks or registered trademarks of their respective holders and should be treated as such.